M



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
•				
		— <u> </u>	EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/439,427

Applicant(s)

Apps et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Janet Wilkens

Group Art Unit 3636



	Janet Wilkens	3030
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 15, 1999	, Mar 5, 2000 4 M	16x 30,2000.
☐ This action is FINAL .		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,		n as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Far application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ext. 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ilure to respond within the period	for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims		
X Claim(s) 22-35	is/are p	pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is	/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 22-35	is	/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is	/are objected to.
Claims		on or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pate	awing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		disapproved.
X The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	er.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		-1 \
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED cop		
received.	les of the phonty documents hav	7C DCC11
received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Seria	l Number)	•
received in this national stage application from	 - · · · · · · · ·	lule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:		<u> </u>
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	·
Attachment(s)		
X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		
X Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap	er No(s). <u>5 and 6</u>	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	0.040	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTNotice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	U-946	
E NOTICE OF HITOITIAN LATERIT APPRICATION, LITO-132		
000 00000 4071041	ON THE COLLOYAUMO DACES	
SEE OFFICE ACTION	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 09/439,427

Art Unit: 3636

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed.

To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 3636.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in the first sentence of page 1, line 1, the parent information needs to be updated to include the patent number thereof.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification and claims, as originally filed, do not disclose that the inner/top surface of the second/lower deck can be scuffed.

Application/Control Number: 09/439,427

Art Unit: 3636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22, 23, 26, 29-31, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pigott et al (5,197,395) in view of Wyler et al and Fingerson. Pigott teaches a plastic pallet (Fig. 1) having upper and lower decks (12) and a plurality of supports (14) therebetween. The upper and lower decks are separately molded and are attached to each other via the supports. Spaces between the supports define fork-receiving regions. For claims 22, 23, 26, 29-31, 34 and 35, Pigott fails to teach that the top surface of the upper deck, the bottom surface of the upper deck (in the fork-receiving regions), and the bottom surface of the lower deck are scuffed to create slip-resistant surfaces. First, Wyler teaches the benefits of having slipresistant/anti-skid surfaces on the top surface of the upper deck (to inhibit movement of the payload disposed on the pallet; see column 1, lines 64-67), on the bottom surface of the upper deck (to prevent slippage of the pallet when it is being moved; see column 2, lines 45-47), and on the bottom surface of the lower deck (to ensure non-slip contact with a floor or racking structure; see column 2, lines 26-28). Second, Fingerson teaches the concept of roughening/scuffing a surface in order to make it into an anti-skid surface (see column 6, lines 5-8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the pallet of Pigott Application/Control Number: 09/439,427 Page 4

Art Unit: 3636

by roughening/scuffing the top surface of the upper deck, the bottom surface of the upper deck, and the bottom surface of the lower deck thereof, because of the advantages taught by Wyler. Furthermore, scuffing the pallet surfaces, such as is taught by Fingerson, is an easy and non-expensive way to provide anti-skid surfaces on the pallet of Pigott.

Claims 24, 25, 27, 28, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pigott et al (5,197,395) in view of Wyler et al and Fingerson as applied to claims 22, 23, 26, 29-31, 34 and 35 above, and further in view of Sturgis. As stated above, Pigott in view of Wyler and Fingerson teaches the specifications of claims 22, 26 and 31 above, including a pallet with various scuffed surfaces. For claims 24, 25, 28, 32 and 33, Pigott in view of Wyler and Fingerson fails to teach a means for scuffing the surfaces. Sturgis teach a cupshaped abrading brush with wire tufts (see Fig. 1). This type of brush would be more than capable of scuffing the plastic surfaces of a pallet, as desired/needed, and therefore, it would have been obvious to use such a brush to scuff the surfaces of the pallet of Pigott in view of Wyler and Fingerson.

Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Leventhal teaches a cup-shaped brush.

Art Unit: 3636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet Wilkens whose telephone number is (703) 308-2204.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Wilkens April 4, 2000 JANET M. WILKENS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
A + U + 3636